arXiv:1510.06869v1 [math.PR] 23 Oct 2015

The Annals of Applied Probability
2015, Vol. 25, No. 6, 3033–3046
DOI: 10.1214/14-AAP1066
© Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2015

A DIFFUSION PROCESS ASSOCIATED WITH FRÉCHET MEANS

By Huiling Le

University of Nottingham

This paper studies rescaled images, under $\exp_\mu^{-1}$, of the sample Fréchet means of i.i.d. random variables $\{X_k | k \geq 1\}$ with Fréchet mean μ on a Riemannian manifold. We show that, with appropriate scaling, these images converge weakly to a diffusion process. Similar to the Euclidean case, this limiting diffusion is a Brownian motion up to a linear transformation. However, in addition to the covariance structure of $\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)$, this linear transformation also depends on the global Riemannian structure of the manifold.

1. Introduction. It has become increasingly common in various research areas for statistical analysis to involve data that lies in non-Euclidean spaces. One such an example is the statistical analysis of shape; cf. [4] and [7]. Consequently, many statistical concepts and techniques have been generalised and developed to adapt to such phenomena.

Fréchet means, as a generalisation of Euclidean means, of random variables on a metric space have been widely used for statistical analysis of non-Euclidean data. A point μ in a metric space $\mathbf{M}$ with distance function ρ is called a Fréchet mean of a random variable X on $\mathbf{M}$ if it satisfies

$$\mu = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbf{M}} \mathrm{E}[\rho(x, X)^2].$$

Influenced by the structure of the underlying spaces, Fréchet means, unlike their Euclidean counterparts, exhibit many challenging probabilistic and statistical features. Various aspects of Fréchet means have been studied for non-Euclidean spaces, including Riemannian manifolds and certain stratified spaces. Among others, the strong law of large numbers for Fréchet means on general metric spaces was obtained in [11]. The first use of Fréchet means to provide nonparametric statistical inference, such as confidence regions and two-sample tests for discriminating between two distributions, was carried out in [2] and [3] for both extrinsic and intrinsic inference applied to

Received July 2013; revised September 2014.
AMS 2000 subject classifications. 60D05, 60F05.
Key words and phrases. Limiting diffusion, rescaled Fréchet means, weak convergence.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 2015, Vol. 25, No. 6, 3033–3046. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

manifolds. When $\mathbf{M}$ is a Riemannian manifold with the distance function being that induced by its Riemannian metric, the results on central limit theorems for Fréchet means can be found in [3] and [8]. The results in both papers imply that, since manifolds are locally homeomorphic to Euclidean spaces, the limiting distributions for sample Fréchet means on Riemannian manifolds are usually Gaussian, a phenomenon similar to that for Euclidean means.

In the case of Euclidean space, the link between the sample means of i.i.d. random vectors and random walks leads to the fact that the rescaled sample means converge weakly to Brownian motion, possibly up to a linear transformation associated with the covariance structure of the random vectors. On the other hand, the authors of [1] constructed a stochastic gradient algorithm from a given sequence of i.i.d. random variables on a Riemannian manifold where, under certain conditions, the random sequence resulting from the algorithm converges almost surely to the Fréchet mean μ of the given random variables. Moreover, it showed that, if one rescales the images, under $\exp_\mu^{-1}$, of the random walks associated with the algorithm, they converge weakly to an inhomogeneous diffusion process on the tangent space of the manifold at μ. The following questions are raised from this paper: if one rescales the images, under $\exp_\mu^{-1}$, of the sample Fréchet means of the random variable, will they converge weakly? If they do, do they converge to the same diffusion process as the one given in [1]? If not, what is the limiting diffusion process? This paper addresses these questions. We show that the rescaled images of the sample Fréchet means of i.i.d. random variables $\{X_k|k \geq 1\}$ on a Riemannian manifold converge weakly to a diffusion process which is a Brownian motion up to a linear transformation. Moreover, in addition to the covariance structure of $\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)$, this linear transformation also depends on the global Riemannian structure of the manifold. For this we first, in the next section, construct a sequence of simpler inhomogeneous Markov processes, each of which is also a martingale, and consider the behaviour of their weak convergence. In addition to their intrinsic interest, the results in this section also form a basis for our investigations of "rescaled" sample Fréchet means in the following section. In particular, we relate the constructed sequence of processes to the "rescaled" sample Fréchet means in such a way that the result for the latter is a direct consequence of the former.

2. An auxiliary weakly convergent sequence of Markov chains. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension d with covariant derivative D and Riemannian distance ρ, whose sectional curvature is bounded below by $\kappa_0 \leq 0$ and above by $\kappa_1 \geq 0$. For any $x \in \mathbf{M}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_x$ the cut locus of x. Note that, for any fixed x_0, the squared distance function $\rho(x_0, x)^2$ to x_0 is not C^2 on $\mathcal{C}_{x_0}$.

For a fixed $y \in \mathbf{M}$, consider the vector field on $\mathbf{M} \setminus \mathcal{C}_y$ defined, at $x \notin \mathcal{C}_y$, by $\exp_x^{-1}(y) \in \tau_x(\mathbf{M})$, where $\tau_x(\mathbf{M})$ denotes the tangent space of $\mathbf{M}$ at x, and then define the linear operator $H_{x,y}$ on the tangent space $\tau_x(\mathbf{M})$ by

$$H_{x,y} : v \mapsto -(D_v \exp_x^{-1}(y))(x). \tag{1}$$

The operator $H_{x,y}$ so defined will play an important role in the following study of the asymptotic behaviour of sample Fréchet means on $\mathbf{M}$. Note first that $H_{x,y}$ is closely linked with $\mathrm{Hess}(\frac{1}{2}\rho(x,y)^2)$, the Hessian of the function $\frac{1}{2}\rho(x,y)^2$, as follows (cf. [6], page 145):

$$\langle H_{x,y}(v), u\rangle = \mathrm{Hess}_x(\tfrac{1}{2}\rho(x,y)^2)(v,u),$$

for any $x \notin \mathcal{C}_y$ and any tangent vectors $u, v \in \tau_x(\mathbf{M})$, and so the assumption on the bounds for the sectional curvature of $\mathbf{M}$ implies that, for any unit tangent vector $v \in \tau_x(\mathbf{M})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\kappa_1}\rho(x,y)\cot(\sqrt{\kappa_1}\rho(x,y)) \\ &\quad \le \langle H_{x,y}(v), v\rangle \le \sqrt{-\kappa_0}\rho(x,y)\coth(\sqrt{-\kappa_0}\rho(x,y)), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where we require that if $\kappa_1 > 0$, $\sqrt{\kappa_1}\rho(x,y) < \pi/2$ for the first inequality to hold; cf. [6], page 203.

In contrast to Euclidean means, there is generally no closed form for Fréchet means. On the other hand, the result of [9] implies that the Euclidean random variable $\exp_\mu^{-1}(X)$ is almost surely defined, where μ is a Fréchet mean of the random variable X on $\mathbf{M}$. Then, since

$$\exp_x^{-1}(y) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{grad}_1(\rho(x,y)^2),$$

where grad_1 denotes the gradient operator acting on the first argument of a function on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$ and since

$$\mathrm{grad}(\mathrm{E}[\rho(x,X)^2])|_{x=\mu} = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{grad}_1(\rho(x,X)^2)|_{x=\mu}] = 0$$

by the definition of Fréchet means, the Fréchet mean μ satisfies the condition that

$$\mathrm{E}[\exp_\mu^{-1}(X)] = 0. \tag{3}$$

Thus μ is linked to the Euclidean mean in the sense that the origin of the tangent space of $\mathbf{M}$ at μ, $\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$ is the Euclidean mean of the Euclidean random variable $\exp_\mu^{-1}(X)$.

Let $\{X_k | k \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables on $\mathbf{M}$, and for a fixed $x_0 \in \mathbf{M}$, assume that $\mathrm{E}[\rho(x_0, X_1)^2] < \infty$. This assumption ensures the existence of Fréchet means of X_1. For simplicity, in the following, we shall assume that the Fréchet mean μ of X_1 is unique. However, we do not require the support of the probability measure of X_1 to be contained in any

geodesic ball. Note that the result of [9] ensures that $\mathrm{P}(X_1 \in \mathcal{C}_\mu) = 0$ under some mild condition on $\mathbf{M}$. We further assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{E}[\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2] < \infty \quad \text{and} \\ &(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}, \text{the inverse of the linear operator } \mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}], \text{exists.} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

These two assumptions ensure that the linear operator $\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]$ is well defined and nonsingular.

For each fixed $n \geq 1$, consider the time-inhomogeneous Markov chain $\{V_k^n | k \geq 0\}$ defined on the tangent space $\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$ in terms of $\{X_k | k \geq 1\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^n &= 0, \\ V_1^n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)), \\ V_{k+1}^n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{k+1}{k} I - \frac{1}{k}(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_{k+1}} \right\}(V_k^n), \qquad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

One may check that $\{V_k^n | k \geq 0\}$ is a martingale. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of $\{V_{[nt]}^n | t \geq 0\}$ as n tends to infinity. Firstly, for this, the following lemma gives an upper bound for the sequence $\{V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n | n \geq 1\}$ for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that the assumptions (4) hold. Then there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $n_0 > 0$,*

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} \mathrm{E}[|V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n|^2] \leq \alpha \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^2] \left\{ \frac{1}{n_0} + \varepsilon_0 c_0 \right\},$$

where $\alpha = \|(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}\|^2$.

PROOF. Write

$$B = \mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}^\top (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-\top} (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_1}].$$

Then, by the definition of V_k^n,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{E}[|V_{k+1}^n|^2 | V_k^n] \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{E}[|(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^2] + \left\langle V_k^n, \left\{ \frac{k^2-1}{k^2} I + \frac{1}{k^2} B \right\} V_k^n \right\rangle \\ &\qquad + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\mathrm{E}[\langle (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1}), G_{k+1} V_k^n \rangle | V_k^n], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_{k+1} = \frac{k+1}{k} I - \frac{1}{k} (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}.$$

Under the given conditions, there is a constant $\beta \geq 0$ such that, for any $v \in \tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$, $\langle v, Bv \rangle \leq (\beta+1)|v|^2$. Thus, using the facts that $\mathrm{E}[\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)] = 0$ and that X_{k+1} is independent of V_k^n, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}[|V_{k+1}^n|^2 | V_k^n] \\
&\quad \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^2] + \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{k^2}\right) |V_k^n|^2 \\
&\qquad - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}k} \langle \mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}^\top (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-\top} (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1})], V_k^n \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\{V_k^n | k \geq 0\}$ is a martingale with zero expectation, the above implies that

$$\mathrm{E}[|V_{k+1}^n|^2] \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^2] + \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{k^2}\right) \mathrm{E}[|V_k^n|^2].$$

Hence, by induction, we have

$$\mathrm{E}[|V_k^n|^2] \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^2] \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{j^2}\right) \right\}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i}^{k} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{j^2}\right) \leq k \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{j^2}\right),$$

the above implies, in particular, that

$$\mathrm{E}[|V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n|^2] \leq \alpha \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^2] \left\{ \frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon_0 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{j^2}\right) \right\}.$$

The required result then follows from the fact that $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{\beta}{j^2}) < \infty$. □

The next lemma gives various bounds on the differences $V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n$ for sufficiently large n and k.

LEMMA 2. *In addition to the assumptions in (4), assume that, for some $\delta > 0$, $E[\rho(\mu, X_1)^{2+\delta}] < \infty$. Then, for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $r > 0$, there are constants c_1, c_2, and c_3 depending on ε_0 and r such that, when n is sufficiently large, for $k \geq \varepsilon_0 n$ and for $v \in \tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$ with $|v| \leq r$:*

(i) *for any* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > \varepsilon | V_k^n = v) \le \begin{cases} \dfrac{c_1}{\varepsilon^{2+\delta}} n^{-(1+\min\{1,\delta/2\})}, & \text{if } \varepsilon \le 1, \\ \dfrac{c_1}{\varepsilon^2} n^{-(1+\min\{1,\delta/2\})}, & \text{if } \varepsilon > 1; \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

(ii)

$$|\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n) 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > 1\}} | V_k^n = v]| \le c_2 n^{-(1+\min\{1/2,\delta/4\})}; \tag{6}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)^\top 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > 1\}} | V_k^n = v]\| \\ &\quad \le c_3 n^{-(1+\min\{1,\delta/(2+\delta)\})}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, write $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \|\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]\|$. Then, by the definition of V_k^n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > \varepsilon | V_k^n = v) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{P}\left(\left| \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1) + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{k} \{\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}] - H_{\mu,X_1}\}(v) \right| > \varepsilon' \sqrt{n} \right) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{P}\left(|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)| > \varepsilon' \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \text{ or } |\{\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}] - H_{\mu,X_1}\}(v)| > \varepsilon' \frac{k}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{P}\left(|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)| > \varepsilon' \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \right) + \mathrm{P}\left(\|\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}] - H_{\mu,X_1}\| > \varepsilon' \frac{k}{2|v|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $v \neq 0$, it follows from Chebyshev's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > \varepsilon | V_k^n = v) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^{2+\delta}] \frac{2^{2+\delta}}{(\varepsilon' \sqrt{n})^{2+\delta}} + \mathrm{var}(\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|) \frac{(2|v|)^2}{(\varepsilon' k)^2} \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^{2+\delta}] \frac{2^{2+\delta}}{(\varepsilon' \sqrt{n})^{2+\delta}} + \mathrm{E}[\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2] \frac{(2|v|)^2}{(\varepsilon' k)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

when n is sufficiently large. Note that the assumption that $v \neq 0$ implies that $k \ge 1$. If $v = 0$, a modified argument will show that the above still holds for $k \ge 1$. Hence, (5) follows.

Similarly, using the definition of V_k^n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{E}[|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|^2 | V_k^n = v] \\ &\quad \le \frac{2}{n} \mathrm{E}[|(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^2] + \frac{2}{k^2} \mathrm{E}[|(I - (\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_1}) v|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under the given conditions, result (i) also implies that, for any $r>0$ and some constant c_2 depending on ε_0 and r, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1\}}|V_k^n = v]|\\&\quad\le \mathrm{E}[|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|^2|V_k^n = v]^{1/2}\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1|V_k^n = v)^{1/2}\\&\quad\le c_2 n^{-(1+\min\{1/2,\delta/4\})},\end{aligned}$$

for $k\ge\varepsilon_0 n$, for sufficiently large n and for all $v\in\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$ such that $|v|\le r$, so that (6) holds.

To show (7), we note that there are positive constants a,b,c independent of n and k such that, for given $V_k^n = v$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)^\top\|\\&\qquad\le \frac{a}{n}|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^2 + \frac{b}{k^2}(c+\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2)|v|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by result (i),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)^\top 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1\}}|V_k^n = v]\|\\&\quad\le \frac{a}{n}\mathrm{E}[|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^2 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1\}}|V_k^n = v] + \frac{b}{k^2}\mathrm{E}[c+\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2]|v|^2\\&\quad\le \frac{a}{n}\mathrm{E}[|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^{2+\delta}]^{2/(2+\delta)}\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1|V_k^n = v)^{\delta/(2+\delta)}\\&\qquad + \frac{b}{k^2}\mathrm{E}[c+\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2]|v|^2\\&\quad\le \frac{a}{n}\mathrm{E}[|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)|^{2+\delta}]^{2/(2+\delta)}\times\frac{c'}{n^{\delta/(2+\delta)}} + \frac{b}{k^2}\mathrm{E}[c+\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2]|v|^2\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c'>0$ dependent on $|v|$, so that the required result follows. □

COROLLARY. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, for any $\varepsilon_0>0$ and $r>0$, the following limits hold uniformly in $k\ge\varepsilon_0 n$:*

(i) *for any $\varepsilon>0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|v|\le r} n\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>\varepsilon|V_k^n = v) = 0;$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|v|\le r}|n\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|\le 1\}}|V_k^n = v]| = 0;$$

(iii)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|v|\le r}\|n\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)^\top 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|\le 1\}}|V_k^n = v] - A\| = 0,$$

where $A = \mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]^{-1}\Gamma\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]^{-\top}$ *and*

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{cov}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)) = \mathrm{E}[\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)\otimes\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1)]. \tag{8}$$

PROOF. By (5), for any $k \ge \varepsilon_0 n$,

$$\mathrm{P}(|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n| > \varepsilon|V_k^n = v) \le \mathrm{E}[\rho(\mu, X_1)^{2+\delta}]\frac{2^{2+\delta}}{(\varepsilon'\sqrt{n})^{2+\delta}} + \mathrm{E}[\|H_{\mu,X_1}\|^2]\frac{(4|v|)^2}{(\varepsilon'\varepsilon_0 n)^2},$$

when n is sufficiently large. Thus (i) holds. Noting that $\mathrm{E}[V_{k+1}^n|V_k^n] = V_k^n$, (ii) follows from (6). Since

$$\mathrm{cov}(V_{k+1}^n|V_k^n) = \mathrm{cov}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_1))\right) = \frac{1}{n}A,$$

(iii) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|v|>r}\|n\mathrm{E}[(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)(V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n)^\top 1_{\{|V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n|>1\}}|V_k^n = v]\| = 0,$$

which follows from (7). □

The properties that we have obtained so far on $\{V_k^n|k\ge 0\}$ enable us to prove the weak convergence of $\{V_{[nt]}^n|t\ge 0\}$ as follows.

PROPOSITION. *In addition to the assumptions in (4), assume that, for some* $\delta > 0$, $E[\rho(\mu, X_1)^{2+\delta}] < \infty$. *Then the sequence of processes* $\{V_{[nt]}^n|t\ge 0\}$ *converges weakly in* $\mathbb{D}([0,\infty), \tau_\mu(\mathbf{M}))$, *the space of right continuous functions with left limits on the tangent space of* $\mathbf{M}$ *at* μ, *to* $\{V_t|t\ge 0\}$ *as* $n\to\infty$, *where* V_t *is the solution of the stochastic differential equation*

$$dV_t = \{(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1}\Gamma(\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-\top}\}^{1/2}\,dB_t \tag{9}$$

with $V_0 = 0$, B_t *a standard Brownian motion in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *and* Γ *is defined by (8).*

PROOF. Let $\tilde{V}_k^n = (\frac{k}{n}, V_k^n)$. Then $\{\tilde{V}_k^n|k\ge 0\}$ is a time-homogeneous Markov chain. For each $n \ge 1$, write P_n for the transition probability distribution associated with $\{\tilde{V}_k^n|k\ge 0\}$, that is,

$$P_n\left(\left(\frac{l}{n}, v\right), B\right) = \mathrm{P}\left(\left(\frac{l+1}{n}, V_{l+1}^n\right)\in B|V_l^n = v\right),$$

where B is any Borel set in $(0,\infty)\times\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$.

For any $\varepsilon_0>0$, the result of Lemma 1 implies that $\{\tilde{V}^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}|n\geq 1\}$ is tight. Hence, there is a subsequence $\{\tilde{V}^{n_j}_{[\varepsilon_0 n_j]}|j\geq 1\}$ that converges weakly in $\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M})$ to a random variable $\tilde{\xi}_{\varepsilon_0}=(\varepsilon_0,\xi_{\varepsilon_0})$. Then it follows from Corollary 7.4.2 in [5] (pages 355–356) that the results of the Corollary imply that the sequence of processes $\{\tilde{V}^{n_j}_{[n_j t]}|t\geq\varepsilon_0\}$ converges weakly in $\mathbb{D}([\varepsilon_0,\infty),[\varepsilon_0,\infty)\times\tau_\mu(M))$ to a diffusion $\{\tilde{V}_t|t\geq\varepsilon_0\}$, where $\tilde{V}_t=(t,V_t)$ with the initial condition that $\tilde{V}_{\varepsilon_0}$ has the same distribution as $\tilde{\xi}_{\varepsilon_0}$ and where V_t satisfies the stochastic differential equation (9). This implies (cf. [5], page 355) that $\{V^{n_j}_{[n_j t]}|t\geq\varepsilon_0\}$ converges weakly in $\mathbb{D}([\varepsilon_0,\infty),\tau_\mu(M))$ to $\{V_t|t\geq\varepsilon_0\}$, where V_{ε_0} has the same distribution as ξ_{ε_0}.

To show the required result, it is now sufficient to show that, for any subsequence of $\{V^n_{[nt]}|t\geq 0\}$, there is a further subsequence which converges weakly to $\{V_t|t\geq 0\}$. Without loss of generality, we may rename the subsequence as $\{V^n_{[nt]}|t\geq 0\}$ and apply the above to $\varepsilon_0=1/m$. For each $m\geq 1$, this gives a subsequence $\{V^{n_j}_{[n_j t]}|t\geq 0\}$ indexed by m, of $\{V^n_{[nt]}|t\geq 0\}$, which converges weakly on $[1/m,\infty)$ to $\{V_t|t\geq 1/m\}$. Hence, we obtain a sequence indexed by m of subsequences, and we then take the diagonal subsequence. The diagonal subsequence converges weakly in $D((0,\infty),\tau_\mu(\mathbf{M}))$ to $\{V'_t|t>0\}$. However, the result of Lemma 1 shows that $\mathrm{E}[|V'_t|^2]\to 0$ as $t\to 0$ and so the required result follows by noting that $\{V'_t|t\geq 0\}$ must be equal in law to $\{V_t|t\geq 0\}$. $\square$

3. The main result. We now return to consider the sample Fréchet means of $\{X_k|k\geq 1\}$. For this, we denote by μ_k a sample Fréchet mean of $X_1,\ldots,X_k$ for each k, so that μ_k converges to μ almost surely (cf. [11]). It follows from (3) that μ_k satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\exp^{-1}_{\mu_k}(X_i)=0. \tag{10}$$

Thus the origin of the tangent space of $\mathbf{M}$ at μ_k, $\tau_{\mu_k}(\mathbf{M})$, is the sample Euclidean mean of the Euclidean random variables $\exp^{-1}_{\mu}(X_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,k$. Nevertheless, although these relations resemble those for Euclidean means, these conditions are generally imposed on different tangent spaces, resulting in the difficulty in obtaining a usable form of the relation between consecutive sample Fréchet means. Moreover, the usual difference "$\mu_k-\mu$" makes no sense here. However, in the context of manifolds, $\exp^{-1}_{\mu}(\mu_k)$ plays a similar role to $\mu_k-\mu$ in the Euclidean case. This leads us to consider, for each $n\geq 1$, the re-scaled sequence

$$W^n_k=\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\exp^{-1}_{\mu}(\mu_k),\qquad k\geq 1. \tag{11}$$

It is clear from (10), which the sample Fréchet means must satisfy, that μ_{k+1} cannot generally be expected to be determined by μ_k and X_{k+1} alone so that in particular, $\{\mu_k | k \geq 1\}$, and so $\{W_k^n | k \geq 1\}$, is in general *not* a Markov chain. However, the following result shows that, for sufficiently large n and k, the behaviour of $\{W_k^n | k \geq 1\}$ is close to that of a Markov chain.

LEMMA 3. *In addition to the assumptions in (4), assume that*

$$\lim_{s \to 0} E\Big[\sup_{x \in \mathrm{ball}(\mu, s)} \|\Pi_{x,\mu} H_{x,X_1} - H_{\mu,X_1}\| \Big] = 0,$$

where $\Pi_{x,y}$ *denotes the parallel transport from* x *to* y *along the geodesic between the two points. Then, for any* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $r > 0$, *and* $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W_{[nt]}^n - V_{[nt]}^n) - (W_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n - V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n)| \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\{V_k^n | k \geq 0\}$ *are the Markov chains defined in the previous section and* $\sigma_n^r = \inf\{t \geq \varepsilon_0 | |W_{[nt]}^n| \geq r \text{ or } |W_{[nt]-1}^n| \geq r\}$.

Note that, when x is sufficiently close to μ, the geodesic between the two points is unique so that the above parallel transport is well defined.

Note also that $\mathrm{Hess}_x(\frac{1}{2}\rho(x,y)^2)$ is, as a mapping from $\tau_x(\mathbf{M}) \times \tau_x(\mathbf{M}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, smooth with respect to x if $y \notin \mathcal{C}_x$ and, by (2), it is positive-definite provided $\sqrt{\kappa_1}\rho(x,y) < \pi/2$. Thus the relationship between $H_{x,y}$ and $\mathrm{Hess}_x(\frac{1}{2}\rho(x, y)^2)$ ensures that all three assumptions required for Lemma 3 are satisfied if the support for the distribution of X is a compact subset of the open ball $\mathrm{ball}(\mu, \pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa_1}))$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Define, for each given k, the random vector field U_k on $\mathbf{M}$ by

$$U_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp_x^{-1}(X_i),$$

for $x \notin \mathcal{C}_{X_1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{X_2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{C}_{X_k}$. For each fixed x, $\frac{1}{k}U_k(x)$ is the sample Euclidean mean of random variables $\exp_x^{-1}(X_1), \ldots, \exp_x^{-1}(X_k)$. By hypothesis on X_i and the result of [9], $U_k(\mu)$ is defined almost surely, and it follows from (3) that $E[U_k(\mu)] = 0$. Moreover, μ_k being a sample Fréchet mean of $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ implies that $U_k(\mu_k) = 0$ almost surely. Using these facts and using parallel transport followed by Taylor's expansion, Kendall and Le [8] show that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k} D_{\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_i) = U_k(\mu) + \Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k)(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)), \tag{12}$$

where the correction operator Δ_k satisfies the condition that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s > 0$ such that the ball, $\mathrm{ball}(\mu, s)$, that is centred at μ and with radius s is contained in $\mathbf{M} \setminus \mathcal{C}_\mu$ and, for any x in that ball,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Delta_k(x; X_1, \ldots, X_k)\| \\ &\quad \le d \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big\{ (1 + 2\varepsilon s) \sup_{x' \in \mathrm{ball}(\mu,s)} \|\Pi_{x',\mu} D \exp_{x'}^{-1}(X_i) - D \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_i)\| \\ &\qquad\qquad + 2\varepsilon(|\exp_\mu^{-1}(X_i)| + s\|D \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_i)\|) \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, noting by (1) that

$$-(D_{\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)} U_k)(\mu) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} H_{\mu,X_i} \right)(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)),$$

we can rewrite (12) as

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k) \right\}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) = U_k(\mu), \tag{13}$$

which leads to a link between W_k^n and the rescaled sample Euclidean mean $U_k(\mu)$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) \right\}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \\ &\quad = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k) \right\}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) + H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \\ &\qquad + \{\Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k) - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})\}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \\ &\quad = U_k(\mu) + H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) + R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) = \Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k) - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}).$$

This, together with (13), gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) \right\}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_{k+1}) - \exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \\ &\quad = \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1}) - H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) - R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)).\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the definition of W_k^n that the difference $W_{k+1}^n - W_k^n$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k+1}^n - W_k^n &\\ &= \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) \right\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \{ \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1}) - H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \\ &\qquad - R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})(\exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k)) \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp_\mu^{-1}(\mu_k), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k+1}^n - \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) W_k^n &\\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} H_{\mu,X_i} - \Delta_{k+1}(\mu_{k+1}; X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1}) \right) \right\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1}) - \frac{1}{k} H_{\mu,X_{k+1}}(W_k^n) - \frac{1}{k} R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})(W_k^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

However, under the given assumptions, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} H_{\mu,X_i} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E[H_{\mu,X_1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{k} \|\Delta_k(\mu_k; X_1, \ldots, X_k)\| \xrightarrow{\text{P}} 0$$

(cf. [8]), so that in particular, $\frac{1}{k}\|R(X_1, \ldots, X_{k+1})\| \xrightarrow{\text{P}} 0$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k+1}^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (E[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} \exp_\mu^{-1}(X_{k+1}) &\\ + \left\{ \frac{k+1}{k} I - \frac{1}{k} (E[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_{k+1}} \right\} (W_k^n) + o(k^{-1}) \qquad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

where I is the identity operator. This implies that, for $t \geq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (W_{[nt]}^n - V_{[nt]}^n) - (W_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n - V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n) &\\ = (W_{[nt]-1}^n - V_{[nt]-1}^n) - (W_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n - V_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}^n) &\\ = \frac{1}{[nt]-1} \{ I - (E[H_{\mu,X_1}])^{-1} H_{\mu,X_{[nt]}} \} (W_{[nt]-1}^n - V_{[nt]-1}^n) &\\ + o([nt]^{-1}) \qquad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

so that, for $\varepsilon_0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r$,

$$\begin{aligned}&|(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}) - (W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]} - V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]})| \\ &\quad \le |(W^n_{[nt]-1} - V^n_{[nt]-1}) - (W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]} - V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]})| + o([nt]^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

The required result then follows. □

We are now in the position to state and prove the main result of the paper concerning the limiting diffusion associated with the sequences of the rescaled images $\{W_k^n | k \ge 0\}$, under $\exp_\mu^{-1}$, of the Fréchet means μ_k of $X_1, \dots, X_k$.

THEOREM. *Under the assumptions of the Proposition and Lemma 3, the sequence of processes* $\{W^n_{[nt]} | t \ge 0\}$ *converges weakly in* $\mathbb{D}([0,\infty), \tau_\mu(\mathbf{M}))$ *to* $\{V_t | t \ge 0\}$, *where* $W_0^n = 0$; W_k^n, $k \ge 1$, *is defined by (11), and the* V_t *are as given in the Proposition.*

PROOF. By the Proposition, we only need to show that, for any $r > 0$ and $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}| \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\sigma_n^r = \inf\{t \ge \varepsilon_0 | |W^n_{[nt]}| \ge r \text{ or } |W^n_{[nt]-1}| \ge r\}$.

Since $W_0^n = V_0^n = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}| \\ &\quad = \lim_{\varepsilon_0 \downarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon_0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]})| \\ &\quad \le \lim_{\varepsilon_0 \downarrow 0} \Big\{ \sup_{\varepsilon_0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}) - (W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]} - V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]})| \\ &\qquad\qquad + |W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| + |V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{P}\Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]})| > 6\varepsilon \Big) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{P}\Big(\sup_{\varepsilon_0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}) - (W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]} - V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]})| \\ &\qquad\qquad + |W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| + |V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| > 3\varepsilon \Big) \\ &\quad \le \mathrm{P}\Big(\sup_{\varepsilon_0 \le t \le T \wedge \sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]}) - (W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]} - V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]})| > \varepsilon \Big) \\ &\qquad + \mathrm{P}(|W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| > \varepsilon) + \mathrm{P}(|V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}| > \varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ by Lemma 3. It follows from the Proposition that the distribution ν_{ε_0} of V_{ε_0} is Gaussian with mean zero and covariance matrix $\varepsilon_0^2 \mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]^{-1}\Gamma\mathrm{E}[H_{\mu,X_1}]^{-\top}$, where Γ is given by (8). This implies that the limiting distribution of $V^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}$ is ν_{ε_0}. Moreover, the result of [8] implies that ν_{ε_0} is also the limiting distribution of $W^n_{[\varepsilon_0 n]}$. Thus, as $n \to \infty$, both the second and third terms on the right are bounded above by $\mathrm{var}(|V_{\varepsilon_0}|)/\varepsilon^2$, so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\sigma_n^r} |(W^n_{[nt]} - V^n_{[nt]})| > 6\varepsilon\Big) \le 2\frac{\mathrm{var}(|V_{\varepsilon_0}|)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Since $\lim_{\varepsilon_0\downarrow 0} \mathrm{var}(|V_{\varepsilon_0}|) = 0$, the independence of the left-hand side above on ε_0 then gives the required result. □

It is interesting to note the relationship between the result of the Theorem and the central limit theorem for Fréchet means obtained in [8], in comparison with that between the corresponding results for Euclidean means. It is also interesting to see the difference between the limiting diffusion obtained here and that obtained in [1]. The latter should shed some light on the difference between the asymptotic behaviour of the sample Fréchet means and that of the random sequence obtained using the stochastic gradient algorithm constructed in [1].

REFERENCES

[1] Arnaudon, M., Dombry, C., Phan, A. and Yang, L. (2012). Stochastic algorithms for computing means of probability measures. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **122** 1437–1455. MR2914758

[2] Bhattacharya, R. and Patrangenaru, V. (2003). Large sample theory of intrinsic and extrinsic sample means on manifolds. I. *Ann. Statist.* **31** 1–29. MR1962498

[3] Bhattacharya, R. and Patrangenaru, V. (2005). Large sample theory of intrinsic and extrinsic sample means on manifolds. II. *Ann. Statist.* **33** 1225–1259. MR2195634

[4] Dryden, I. L. and Mardia, K. V. (1998). *Statistical Shape Analysis*. Wiley, Chichester. MR1646114

[5] Ethier, S. N. and Kurtz, T. G. (1986). *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*. Wiley, New York. MR0838085

[6] Jost, J. (2005). *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, 4th ed. Springer, Berlin. MR2165400

[7] Kendall, D. G., Barden, D., Carne, T. K. and Le, H. (1999). *Shape and Shape Theory*. Wiley, Chichester. MR1891212

[8] Kendall, W. S. and Le, H. (2011). Limit theorems for empirical Fréchet means of independent and non-identically distributed manifold-valued random variables. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.* **25** 323–352. MR2832889

[9] Le, H. and Barden, D. (2014). On the measure of the cut locus of a Fréchet mean. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** 698–708. MR3239607

[10] Stroock, D. W. and Varadhan, S. R. S. (1979). *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]* **233**. Springer, Berlin. MR0532498

[11] Ziezold, H. (1977). On expected figures and a strong law of large numbers for random elements in quasi-metric spaces. In *Transactions of the Seventh Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Processes and of the Eighth European Meeting of Statisticians (Tech. Univ. Prague, Prague, 1974) A* 591–602. Reidel, Dordrecht. MR0501230

School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Nottingham
Nottingham, NG7 2RD
United Kingdom
E-mail: huiling.le@nottingham.ac.uk